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#### Abstract

By making use of the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions, we prove several inclusion relations associated with the $(n, \delta)$ neighborhoods for a subclass of starlike functions of complex order involving Jacksons $(p, q)$-derivative. Special cases of some of these inclusion relations are shown to yield known results.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of functions of the form
$f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$
which are analytic in the open unit disc $\Delta=\{Z:|Z<1|\}$. Further, let $S$ denote the class
of all functions $\square \square 2 \mathrm{~A}$ which are univalent in $\Delta$ (for details, see [8]; see also some of the
recent investigations [2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 18]).
Denote by T a subclass of A consisting functions of the form
$f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad a_{n} \geq 0, \quad Z \in \Delta$
(1.2)
introduced and studied by Silverman [17].
We briefly recall here the notion of q-operators i.e. q-difference operator that play vital role in the theory of hypergeometric series, quantum physics and in the operator
theory. The application of q-calculus was first introduced by Jackson [11,21,22]. Kanas and Raducanu [14] have used the fractional q-calculus operators in investigations of certain classes of functions which are analytic in $\Delta$. For details on q-calculus one can refer $[3,7,11,13,14,19,20]$ and also the reference cited therein. For the convenience, we provide some basic definitions and concept details of q-calculus which are used in this paper. We suppose throughout the
paper that $0<p<q \leq 1$.
For $0<p<q \leq 1$ the Jacksons $(\mathrm{p} \square \square \mathrm{q})$-derivative of a function $\square \square 2 \mathrm{~A}$ is, by definition, given as follows [11]
$D_{p, q} f(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{f(p z)-f(q z)}{(p-q) z} & \text { for } z \neq 0, \\ f^{\prime}(0) & \text { for } z=0 .\end{cases}$

## (1.3)

From (1.3), we have
$D_{q} f(z)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{p, q} a_{n} z^{n-1}$
(1.4)
where
$[n]_{p, q}=\frac{p^{n}-q^{n}}{p-q}$,
(1.5)
is called ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )-bracket or twin-basic number. Clearly for a function $\square(\square)=\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}} \square \square$ we obtain
$D_{p, q} h(z)=D_{p, q} z^{n}=\frac{p^{n}-q^{n}}{p-q} z^{n-1}=[n]_{p, q} z^{n-1}$.
Note also that for $=1$, the $\operatorname{Jackson}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})$-derivative reduces to the Jackson q-derivative given by (see [11]).
we define the Salagean ( $p ; q$ )-differential operator as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{p, q}^{0} f(z) & =f(z) \\
D_{p, q}^{1} f(z) & =z D_{p, q} f(z) \\
& \vdots \\
D_{p, q}^{m} f(z) & =z D_{p, q}^{1}\left(D_{p, q}^{m-1} f(z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m} f(z)}-\alpha\right)\right\} \gg 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{P}_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m} f(z)}-1\right),(\mathrm{z} \in \Delta)$ (1.9)

Where

$$
=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{p, q}^{m} a_{n} z^{n} \quad\left(m \in \square_{0}=\square \cup\{0\}, z \in \Delta\right)
$$

$0<\alpha \leq 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda>0, \zeta, m \in \square_{0}$ and $b \in \square^{*}=\square-\{0\}$.

Further, we de.ne the class $S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$ by
$S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)=S_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha) \bigcap T$.
(1.10)

We note that if $\mathrm{p}=1$ and $\lim _{\mathrm{q}} \square$ ! $1^{-} \square \square$ we obtain the familiar
Salagean derivative [16]
$D^{m} f(z)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{m} a_{n} z^{n}\left(m \in \square_{0} ; \mathrm{Z} \in \Delta\right)$.
(1.7)

Now let

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{0, m} f(z) & =D_{p, q}^{m} f(z) \\
\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{1, m} f(z) & =(1-\lambda) D_{p, q}^{m} f(z)+\lambda z\left(D_{p, q}^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\mathrm{Z}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{p, q}^{m}[1+(n-1) \lambda] a_{n} z^{n} \\
\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{2, m} f(z) & =(1-\lambda) \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{1, m} f(z)+\lambda z\left(\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{1, m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}  \tag{2.1}\\
& =\mathrm{Z}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{p, q}^{m}[1+(n-1) \lambda]^{2} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m} f(z) & =(1-\lambda) \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta-1, m} f(z)+\lambda z\left(\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta-1, m} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \quad \text { Then } \\
& =\mathrm{Z}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{p, q}^{m}[1+(n-1) \lambda]^{\zeta} a_{n} z^{n}\left(\lambda>0 ; \zeta, m \in\left[\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\left.a_{0}\right) \mid \leq
\end{array} \frac{1-\alpha+|b|(1-\beta)}{[(n+|b|)(1-\beta)+\beta-\alpha][n]_{p, q}^{m}[1+(n-1) \lambda]^{\zeta}}\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, we have
$\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{0,0} f(z)=f(z)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{1, p, q}^{1,0} f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)$.
We note that when $\mathrm{p}=1$; we get the differential operator

$$
\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, q}^{\zeta, m} f(z)
$$ defined and studied by Frasin and Murugusundaramoorthy [9]. Also, We note that when $\mathrm{p}=1$ and $\lim \square!1^{-} \square \square$ we get the differential operator $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}^{\zeta, m} f(z)=\mathrm{Z}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{m}[1+(n-1) \lambda]^{\zeta} a_{n} z^{n}\left(\lambda>0 ; \zeta, m \in \square_{0}\right)$

## 3. NEIGHBORHOOD

where $-1 \leq \alpha<1, \beta \geq 0$ and $b \in \square^{*}$.
Corollary 2.2. [1] Let the function $\square(\square) 2$ $S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$.

## 2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES

A necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be in the
class $S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$ is given
by:
Lemma 2.1. [1] Let the function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ be defined by (1.2):
Then $\square(\square) 2 S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$ if and only if
$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[(n+|b|)(1-\beta)+\beta-\alpha][n]_{p, q}^{m}[1+(n-1) \lambda]^{\zeta}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n} \leq 1-\alpha+|b|(1-\beta)$,

## 3. $(n, \delta)$

The concept of $(n, \delta)$-neighborhood was first introduced by
Goodman [12], and then Goodman [12], and then

With the aid of the differential operator $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m} f(z){ }_{\square \square \text { we }}$ say that a function $\square(\square)$ belonging to
A is said to be in the class $S_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$ if it satisfies
generalized by Ruscheweyh [15]. The $(n, \delta)$-neighborhood of the function $\square 2 \mathrm{~T}$ is defined
by

$$
N_{n, \delta}(f)=\left\{g \in T: g(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right| z^{n} \text { and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right| \leq \delta\right\}
$$

In particular, for the identity function $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}$, we have
$N_{n, \delta}(e)=\left\{g \in T: g(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right| z^{n}\right.$ and $\left.\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \delta\right\}\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|<1-\varpi \quad(z \in \Delta ; 0 \leq \varpi<1)$.
Theorem 3.2. If $\square \square 2 S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha) \square$ and

Theorem 3.1. If
$\delta=\frac{2[1-\alpha+|b|(1-\beta)]}{[(2+|b|)(1-\beta)+\beta-\alpha][2]_{p, q}^{m}[1+\lambda]^{\zeta}}$,
(3.3)
then
$S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha) \subset N_{n, \delta}(e)$.
Proof. Let $\square(\square) 2$ $S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$. Lemma 2.1 yields $[(2+|b|)(1-\beta)+\beta-\alpha][2]_{p, q}^{m}[1+\lambda]^{5} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1-\alpha+|b|\left(1-\underset{n=2}{\infty} \eta_{n}\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right| \leq \delta\right.$,
which yields
$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| \frac{1-\alpha+|b|(1-\beta)}{[(2+|b|)(1-\beta)+\beta-\alpha][2]_{p, q}^{m}[1+\lambda]^{\zeta}}$.
(3.5)

On the other hand, use of (2.1), in conjunction with (3.5), we have

Hence

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{2[1-\alpha+|b|(1-\beta)]}{[(2+|b|)(1-\beta)+\beta-\alpha][2]_{p, q}^{m}[1+\lambda]^{\zeta}}=\delta,
$$

which, by the definition (3.2), establishes the inclusion (3.4) asserted by Theorem 3.1.

Now we determine the neighborhood for the class $S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha) \quad \square \square$ which we define as follows. A function $\square(\square) 2 \mathrm{~T}$ is said to be in the class $S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$ if there exists a function
${ }_{\square(\square) 2} S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$ such that
which implies that
$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$.
Next, since $\square \square 2 S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$, we have [cf. equation 3.5]
$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{2[1-\alpha+|b|(1-\beta)]}{[(2+|b|)(1-\beta)+\beta-\alpha][2]_{p, q}^{m}[1+\lambda]^{\zeta}}$.
Letting $\mathrm{j} \square \mathrm{j}!1 \square \square$ so
$\leq \frac{\delta}{2}\left(\frac{\left.[(2+b)(1-\beta)+\beta-\alpha][2]^{m}\right]^{m}[1+\lambda \mid\}^{\xi}}{[(2+b)(1-\beta)+\beta-\alpha][2]_{p q}^{m}[1+\lambda]^{5}-[1-\alpha+|b|(1-\beta)]}\right) \leq 1-\sigma$
provided that $\square \square$ is given by (3.7). Thus, by the above definition, $S T_{\lambda, p, q}^{\zeta, m}(b, \alpha)$
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