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#### Abstract

In this paper, we have proposed a new type of RF MEMS Capacitive Shunt Asymmetric Toggle Switch. MEMS is a combination of mechanical and electromagnetic properties at the micro level unit. The switch which can be used for switching purpose at RF and microwave frequencies is called RF MEMS switch. MEMS switch has many excellent advantages with potential characteristics and higher performances at radiofrequency. In this proposed design, a new type of capacitive switch is designed and analysed for RF electromagnetic properties in HFSS software and electromechanical properties in COMSOL multi-physics. The designed switch mainly consists of a substrate, coplanar waveguide (CPW), dielectric material and a metallic bridge.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years, there has been a rapidgrowth in the fiel d of communication. Future generation requires high bandwi dth and low power consumption devices, one way is toreplac e RF MEMS switches in communication applications instead of conventional switch [1-3]. MEMS refer to Micro-ElectroMechanical System with the arrangement of electromechanical and electromagnetic systems in a micrometer scale [4]. The combination of actuators, electronics, sensors and mechanical elements on a common substrate is a MEMS switch. Among all the devices of MEMS, switches gain an attention because of the advantages [5]. Switches are two types; one is shunt and another one is series. MEMS is reliable and primary concern for the designers with its increasing applications in many industrial segments in the form of pressure sensors, inertial sensors and RF MEMS switches. MEMS switches exhibit high RF performance and low DC power consumption of electromechanical switches but only with the features of size and cost of semiconductor switches [6-7]. An electromechanical and electromagnetic analysis is demonstrated, and we
proposed a capacitive shunt asymmetric toggle switch. The output responses for electromechanical system are predicted using COMSOL multi-physics and after the responses for RF electromagnetic system is analysed using HFSS.

## 2. DESIGNED SWITCH AND ITS THEORITICAL MEASUREMENTS

The fig below is our schematic switch. Silicon is the substrate which has thickness $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, dielectric layer has thickness $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. SiO 2 oxide layer is of thickness $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ which is on top of the substrate. A metallic beam is placed on its fixed anchors on the left and right ground lines.


Figure 1: Proposed Switch Design
Table1: Proposed switch dimensions

| S.NO | Material | Dimension (um) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Substrate thickness | 20 |
| 2 | Ground and signal line | 15 |
| 3 | Dielectric layer | 0.1 |
| 4 | Oxide layer | 10 |
| 5 | Bridge Length | 300 |
| 6 | Bridge Width | 60 |
| 7 | Bridge Thickness | 0.5 |
| 8 | Air gap | 1 |

The parameters for the switch is explained below.

## Spring constant

The spring constant K without residual stress for shunt switch is given by

$$
\mathrm{K}=32 \mathrm{E} \mathrm{w}\left(\frac{t}{l}\right)^{3}
$$

The spring constant K for proposed residual pressure beam is

$$
\mathrm{K}=32 \mathrm{Ew}\left(\frac{t}{l}\right)^{3}+8 \sigma(1-\mathrm{V}) \mathrm{w}\left(\frac{t}{l}\right)
$$

For a series switch, it doesn't contain any residual stress because the layer is fixed on one side.

Where $\sigma=$ residual stress $(\mathrm{Pa})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{w}=\text { width of beam, } \\
& \mathrm{W}=\text { signal line width. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Switching time

The required time to change from HIGH to LOW state is toggling time and inversion of toggling time is its speed. The inversing time is given by

$$
T s=\frac{3.67 V_{p}}{V_{s} \omega_{0}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{Vp}=$ pull-in voltage,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Vs}=\text { supply voltage, } \\
& \mathrm{Vs}=1.4 \mathrm{Vp}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Capacitance at ON state (Con)

Two sections of transmission line and lumped model is explained by shunt switch which was shown in below figure.


Figure 2: Equivalent LCR circuit for any switch.
The Capacitance $\mathrm{C}_{\text {on }}$ is measured by

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{on}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{0} W w}{g+\frac{t_{d}}{\varepsilon_{r}}}
$$

Where td = Thickness of the oxide layer

The capacitance in upstate is

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{on}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{0} W w}{g+\frac{t_{d}}{\varepsilon_{r}}}
$$

Resonant frequency is calculated by:

$$
\mathbf{F}_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L C_{o n}}}
$$

## Capacitance at OFF state ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {off }}$ )

Capacitance (Coff) is measured by

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{off}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} W w}{t_{d}}
$$

Capacitance Ratio ( $\mathbf{C}_{\text {ratio }}$ ) It is calculated by

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\text {ratio }}=\frac{C_{c f f}}{C_{o n}}
$$

Table 2: Theoretical values of the RF MEMS Switch

| Switch parameter | Switch |
| :--- | :--- |
| Spring constant | 14.72 |
| Pull-in-voltage | 9.06 |
| Switching time | 35 ms |
| Up state capacitance | 4.1976 |
| Down state capacitance | 4.19 |
| Downstate to upstate <br> capacitance | 79.9 |

Table 3: Practical values of the RF MEMS Switch

| Switch parameter | Switch |
| :--- | :--- |
| Spring constant | 14.72 |
| Pull-in-voltage | 13.07 V |
| Switching time | 50.22 ms |
| Upstate capacitance | 4.1976 |
| Downstate capacitance | 4.19 |
| Downstate to upstate capacitance | 79.9 |

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Designed and simulated in COMSOL multiphysics in the stu dy of the effect of geometric parameters on the switch's performance analysis. The geometric parameters here are the width and thickness of the tube, air gap and the dielectric content each parameter varies from one to the next.

## Effect of beam width

Since the pull in voltage is inversely proportional to the beam diameter, the pull in voltage decreases as the beam's size increases.


Figure 3: Graphs obtained for $80 \mathrm{um}, 100 \mathrm{um}$ and 120 um beam width values

## Air gap effects

By changing the air gap displacement is observed. Voltage reduced when air gap is small. Less air gap is not suitable for fabrication process.


Figure 4: Graphs obtained for 1, 2 and 3 space air gap measurements

## Effect of beam thickness

It is clearly seen that the voltage of the actuation also decreases with the decreased beam thickness. The 0.5 um beam has the lower voltage actuation compared 1um and 1,5um.


Figure 5: Graphs obtained for $0.5,1$ and 1.5 beam thickness values.

## Beam effect and dielectric material effect

A suitable conductor and dielectric material should be chose n to achieve the best quality of a switch. Au gives the best dis placement for smaller voltage out of all three conductors.


Figure 6: Plots obtained for $\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Cu}$ and Cr materials.
From figure 7, the dielectric materials like Si3N4 in less voltage gives better displacement, as shown below. Other two displacement graphs are similar.


Figure 7: Plots obtained for SIO2, SI2NO3 and AL2O3 materials

## Switching time (Ts) analysis

Ts is the relation of $w_{0}, V_{p}$ and $V_{s}$ of the switch taken from above solved formulae. The switching time observed is 50 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ at pull-in voltage 13.07.


Figure 8: Switching time ( Vs ) Voltage


Figure 9: ON state Return loss ( $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ ).


Figure 10: OFF state Insertion $\operatorname{loss}\left(\mathrm{S}_{21}\right)$.


Figure 11: ON state Isolation $\operatorname{loss}\left(\mathrm{S}_{12}\right)$.

## 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, as a function of different parameters, a RF MEMS switch with study of electromechanical and electromagnetic properties is presented. Through analysing the charts, the loss of return is less than -35 dB during ON state and the loss of insertion at 10 GHz is less than -0.1 dB . Isolation at 10 GHz in OFF state is higher than -11 dB for the switch. The switch that has been designed shows the excellent
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