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#### Abstract

The Nihilist cipher is a symmetric encryption cipher that works by substituting the characters of the plaintext and the keyword and form bigrams based on their character placement and coordinates within the Polybius square. The resulting bigrams from both plaintext and key are added to form the ciphertext. However, the pairing process is unsatisfactory due to its key distribution scheme making the entire process vulnerable to attacks. With this, the Blum Blum Shub (BBS) algorithm is added to randomize the key pairing process of the Nihilist cipher. Therefore, this paper discusses the processes of the proposed cipher methodology based on the combined Nihilist cipher and Blum Blum Shub algorithm. The hybrid methodology offers secure encryption and decryption process, as evident in the simulation results conducted.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cryptography [1] has been part of human's daily lives. Most of the digital communications require authentication over open channels such as the Internet as hackers, crackers, eavesdroppers, and other adversaries are keeping an eye on different data available almost everywhere to be used for everything [2]. Governmental and non-governmental agencies, commercial and non-profit enterprises, as well as the general public all rely on security [3], of which the cipher process of cryptography is an intrinsic part. A cipher is a process that transforms data, in the form of plain text into an incomprehensible format called the encryption with a reversing decryption process that transforms ciphertext back into its original plain text format [4].

Some of the commonly used ciphers in the literature are the Nihilist cipher [5], Base64 cipher [6]-[8], Beaufort cipher [4], Bifid cipher [9], Caesar cipher [10]-[12], Enigma Machine cipher [4], Four-square cipher [4], Grille cipher [13], Hill cipher [14], Homophonic substitution cipher [15], [16], and Permutation cipher [4], among others. In this study, the famous Nihilist cipher is modified and added with the Blum

Blum Shub algorithm. The Blum-Blum-Shub algorithm is a secure pseudorandom number generator that produces a sequence by reducing squares modulo the product of two Blum primes [17]. The proposed hybridization of the two algorithm aims to produce a more secure ciphertext by enhancing the key generation process of the traditional Nihilist cipher.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 Nihilist Cipher

The Nihilist cipher is a substitution cipher that makes use of a matrix to produce ciphertext. In using the Nihilist cipher, the plaintext and the keyword are translated into its numerical form through character substitution using a Polybius square to generate bigrams that represent the coordinates of the character in the grid. The bigrams from the plaintext and keyword are added together to generate the ciphertext [18].

The traditional Nihilist cipher uses a $5 \times 5$ grid matrix, as presented in Table 1. The grid is filled with alphabets written from left to right, then from top to bottom.

Table 1: Nihilist cipher table

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | B | C | D | E |
| 2 | F | G | H | $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{J}$ | K |
| 3 | L | M | N | O | P |
| 4 | Q | R | S | T | U |
| 5 | V | W | X | Y | Z |

First, the plaintext is converted to a numeric value by matching each character to the given matrix and retrieving its row-column index known as a bigram. For instance, the plaintext PASSAGE is translated into 351143431122 15, as presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Plaintext conversion

| Plaintext | P | A | S | S | A | G | E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Converted <br> Plaintext | 35 | 11 | 43 | 43 | 11 | 22 | 15 |

Second, the keyword is also converted to its numeric equivalent by matching each character to the given matrix and retrieving its equivalent bigrams. For example, the keyword KEY is converted to 251545 , as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Keyword conversion

| Plaintext | K | E | Y |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Converted Keyword | 25 | 15 | 45 |

Further, each character of the keyword is paired with each character of the plaintext. Since the given keyword is composed of only three letters, the characters are repeatedly matched up to the length of the plaintext, as seen in Table 4.

Table 4: Plaintext-keyword pairing

| Plaintext | P | A | S | S | A | G | E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Converted <br> Plaintext | 35 | 11 | 43 | 43 | 11 | 22 | 15 |
| Keyword | K | E | Y | K | E | Y | K |
| Converted <br> Keyword | 25 | 15 | 45 | 25 | 15 | 45 | 25 |

Lastly, each pair of plaintext and keyword bigrams are summed to generate the ciphertext. Based on the example, the first character P is encrypted as $60(35+25)$, A is encrypted as $26(11+15)$, and so forth. Hence, the plaintext PASSAGE is encrypted as 60268868266740 using the keyword KEY, as presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Nihilist cipher encryption

| Plaintext | P | A | S | S | A | G | E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Converted <br> Plaintext | 35 | 11 | 43 | 43 | 11 | 22 | 15 |
| Keyword | K | E | Y | K | E | Y | K |
| Converted <br> Keyword | 25 | 15 | 45 | 25 | 15 | 45 | 25 |
| Final <br> Ciphertext | 60 | 26 | 88 | 68 | 26 | 67 | 40 |

To decrypt the ciphertext, the keyword is first identified. The keyword is converted to its numerical equivalent. This is then deducted from the ciphertext value to generate the bigrams for the plaintext. Each resulting bigram is matched with the Polybius square to retrieve the plaintext.

One advantage of using the Nihilist cipher over the Polybius cipher is that the former may generate varied ciphertext values for identical characters as opposed to the latter, which produces the same values for identical characters. For instance, the character $S$ is encrypted as 88 or 68 and E as 30 or 40 , as seen on the results above.

Cryptanalysis for Nihilist cipher is done through pattern analysis and factoring. The keyword length can be guessed by looking at low and high number patterns in the ciphertext. This is more obvious if a lengthy plaintext is used. The keyword may also be guessed by factoring, such that the ciphertext 89 can only be made by the factors $45+44$ based on the limited combination of $1,2,3,4,5$ from the matrix. This is due to the simple addition approach of the repeating keywords paired with the plaintext. For example, in a $5 \times 5$ matrix, if a ciphertext value is more than 100 , then that would easily mean that both plaintext and keyword values come from the $5^{\text {th }}$ row of the Polybius square.

### 2.2 Blum Blum Shub Algorithm

Blum Blum Shub (BBS) is a well-known probabilistically secure pseudo-random number generator (PRNG) proposed by Lenore Blum, Manuel Blum, and Michael Shub in 1986 [19]. The BBS algorithm produces random numbers by using two primes $p$ and $q$, such that $p$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$. These primes, together with a random seed, is computed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n+1}=b_{n}^{2} \bmod M \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
(i) $b$ is a random seed value
(ii) $M$ is the product of two large prime numbers $p$ and $q$
(iii) $p$ and $q$ are congruent to $3(\bmod 4)$

The example below shows how BBS generates random numbers:

- Let, $p=7 \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$

Let $q=19 \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$
Then, $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p} * \mathrm{q}=7 * 19=133$

- Choose a seed value $b_{0}=100$
- $b_{1}=100^{2}(\bmod 133)=25$
- $b_{2}=25^{2}(\bmod 133)=93$
- $b_{3}=93^{2}(\bmod 133)=4$
- so forth...


### 2.3 Proposed Cipher Process

The proposed process introduces the use of BBS and the XOR operation to further enhance the security of the cipher. The addition of BBS and XOR increases the randomness of the cipher to minimize patterns in the ciphertext values. The proposed process also extends the Nihilist cipher into a $6 \times 6$ matrix to include digits in the range of allowable characters to be encoded and decoded. The encryption process is presented in Figure 1, while the decryption process is presented in Figure 2.


Figure 1: Encryption process


Figure 2: Decryption process
Encryption using the proposed method involves a plaintext, keyword, and randomly generated seed. For instance, the given plaintext is PASSAGE is used where the keyword is KEY, and the seed is 7073102 . The plaintext and the keyword are translated into bigrams using the $6 \times 6$ matrix. Further, each character from the keyword is paired with each character from the plaintext. This is done repeatedly until the length of the plaintext. Each seed value is paired as well. A sample $6 \times 6$ matrix is presented in Table 6 , while the converted values are presented in Table 7.

Table 6: A 6x6 nihilist cipher table

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| 2 | G | H | I | J | K | L |
| 3 | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| 4 | S | T | U | V | W | X |
| 5 | Y | Z | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

Table 7: Plaintext and keyword conversion

| Plaintext | P | A | S | S | A | G | E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Converted <br> Plaintext | 35 | 11 | 43 | 43 | 11 | 22 | 15 |
| Keyword | K | E | Y | K | E | Y | K |
| Converted <br> Keyword | 25 | 15 | 45 | 25 | 15 | 45 | 25 |
| Seed | 7 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

The next step involves performing addition and XOR operations. Each seed value is XORed with the corresponding keyword bigram and then added to the plaintext bigram using the equation $P+(K \bigoplus S)$. Based on the given example, the first character M is encrypted as $64=$ $(35+(25 \oplus 7)), \mathrm{E}$ is encrypted as $26=(11+(15 \oplus 0)$, and so forth. The plaintext PASSAGE is now encrypted as 6426 9367257242 using the keyword KEY, as seen in Table 8.

Table 8: Nihilist cipher encryption

| Plaintext | P | A | S | S | A | G | E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Converted <br> Plaintext | 35 | 11 | 43 | 43 | 11 | 22 | 15 |
| Keyword | K | E | Y | K | E | Y | K |
| Converted <br> Keyword | 25 | 15 | 45 | 25 | 15 | 45 | 25 |
| Final Ciphertext | 64 | 26 | 93 | 67 | 25 | 72 | 42 |

The decryption process requires access to the ciphertext, keyword, and seed values. The seed is XORed with the keyword bigram and deducted from the plaintext bigram. Each resulting bigram is now matched with the matrix to retrieve the plaintext.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to assess the viability of the proposed method, two test cases were conducted using a variety of plaintext and keys. In this study, a $6 \times 6$ matrix was used to test both the standard and modified Nihilist ciphers.

In test case 1, a 43-byte plaintext is encrypted using the keyword DOG, as shown in Table 9. Each encrypted ciphertext for the standard and enhanced Nihilist cipher is checked for its low and high patterns. Based on the results of the standard Nihilist cipher, a cryptanalyst may easily recognize the keyword pattern LOW HIGH LOW (d-o-g) in the ciphertext because of how frequent it appears ( 6 times). Cryptanalysts may also use factoring to easily identify the keyword bigram in the standard Nihilist cipher, such that the first ciphertext bigram 27 can only be produced using the digits $11+16,12+15$, or $14+13$ when using the matrix. The enhanced process performs better as the identified LOW HIGH LOW pattern is not as apparent since it only appears three times. On the other hand, attackers may not use factoring to determine the keyword bigram because the matrix could not generate bigrams lower than 11 and higher than 66.

Table 9: Test case 1

| Plaintext | cryptographyisthescienceofhidinginformation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Size | 43 bytes |
| Keyword | Dog |
| Seed | $\begin{aligned} & 5433507561130647772472242626453 \\ & 373206663372 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nihilist Ciphertext | 276972487554356932485572377463364862 275636464636474943374744465444464954 50643256565446 |
| Pattern | Low High High Low High Low Low High Low High High High Low High Low Low High High Low High Low High Low Low High High Low Low High Low High High Low High High High Low High Low High Low Low Low |
| Modified Nihilist Ciphertext | $\begin{aligned} & 24357200481151863524596208199214225202 \\ & 63261766021118290465098175691559317574 \\ & 208184194176181184172643216618454206 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Pattern | Low Low High Low High High Low High Low High Low High High Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low High High High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High High Low Low Low High High Low High |

In test case 2, a 77-byte plaintext is encrypted using the keyword INFO, as shown in Table 10. Each encrypted ciphertext generated by the standard and enhanced Nihilist cipher is checked for its low and high patterns. Based on the results generated by the standard Nihilist cipher, a cryptanalyst may easily recognize the keyword pattern LOW HIGH LOW HIGH (i-n-f-o) in the ciphertext because of how frequent it appears (8 times). Cryptanalysts may also use factoring to easily identify the keyword bigram in the standard Nihilist cipher, such that the ciphertext bigram 31
can only be produced using the digits $16+15$ when using the matrix. The enhanced process performs better as the identified LOW HIGH LOW pattern is not as apparent since it only appears two times. Also, attackers may not use factoring to determine the keyword bigram because the matrix could not generate bigrams lower than 11 and higher than 66.

Table 10: Test Case 2

| Plaintext | TheNihilistcipherisamonoalphabeticclassicalcipherusedb ytheRussiansagainstCzar |
| :---: | :---: |
| Size | 77 bytes |
| Keyword | Info |
| Seed | 77105950120273896053113739511669 9327121249164710579153754104447890 1141159439521111276564543122611972 $61 \quad 1 \quad 2589994662192858531251114647$ 1118395321207455732351081211589 |
| Nihilist Ciphertext | 65543165465439594673584646663848595557 44546548663458505534443175464529593473 57563643424646663848597557483744677545 47527664733944557327543455487465456844 59 |
| Pattern | Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low Low Low High Low High High Low High Low High High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High Low Low Low High High High Low High High High Low High Low High High High Low High Low High Low High Low Low High Low High |
| Modified <br> Nihilist <br> Ciphertext | 1329540511348177666662139117535322115 11082146466981951059973874513824109165 12496913246120152119302485583612111043 587783818711056167881325199161558689100 1685911027367342948915757114 |
| Pattern | Low Low Low High High Low Low Low High Low High Low Low High Low High Low Low High Low High High High High Low Low High Low High Low High High Low Low Low Low High High High Low Low Low High Low Low High Low Low High High High Low High High Low High Low High Low High High Low High High High High Low High Low High High Low High Low High Low High |

## 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the use of the extended Nihilist cipher is introduced to increase the cipher capability of the Nihilist cipher as recommended in the study of [20]. Further, the use of the Blum Blum Shub algorithm, together with the XOR process prior to the generation of ciphertext, increases the cipher's randomness, concealing the low and high number patterns and as well as preventing attackers on guessing the keyword used through factoring. The proposed method shows ciphertext variability and pattern obscureness, making the encrypted data difficult to break.
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